

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/855,241	05/15/2001	Jude A. Rivers	YOR920000809US1 (14138)	8988	
75	90 02/11/2005		EXAMINER		
Richard L. Catania			GERSTL, SHANE F		
	lurphy & Presser				
400 Garden City		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Garden City, N	Y 11530		2183		
			DATE MAILED: 02/11/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/855,241	RIVERS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Shane F Gerstl	2183	

	Onane i Gersu	2103	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 26 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ol>	ment, affidavit, or other evidence, v al fee) in compliance with 37 CFR e reply must be filed within one of t	which places the appl 41.31; or (3) a Reque	ication in st for Continued
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	-	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Apperwas filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	1.37 must be filed within two month FR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	s of the date of filing	the Notice of
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	, will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•		
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•	_
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)         how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-         The attack of the plain(s) is (squiiii be) as follows:</li> </ol>		Il be entered and an e	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>			
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu			nce because:
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13.  Other:			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 19, which is similar to the former claim 1, differs from claim 1 and the other previously disclosed claims in that the width determination logic now has an input connected to the register value information means. Also, specific control signals are now claimed for indicating the width of the system, which enable slices based on the need for them. Claim 19 also newly provides for the enabled slices to be the only slices that access simultaneously corresponding portions of the register file whose contents are needed for execution. Finally, claim 19 newly introduces that the enabled slices write their ALU results to the register file in parallel. Claims 20 and 21 also seem to introduce new issues not previously claimed. Other new issues also exist, such as claim 2 for example, which now specifies that leading bits indicate the number and which slice is enabled, which was not previosuly claimed. These new issues all change the scope of the claims and require further search and consideration..

> Sedie d EDDIE CHAN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100